|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Guidance on the use of codes for this mark scheme | |
| M | Method mark |
| A | Accuracy mark |
| B | Mark awarded independent of method |
| C | Communication mark |
| P | Explanation or justification mark |
| cao | Correct answer only |
| oe | Or equivalent |
| ft | Follow through |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Question** | **Working** | **Answer** | **Mark** | **AO** | **Notes** | **Grade** |
| **1 a**  **b**  **c**  **d** |  | e.g. There is a 0% chance of rolling a seven on a one to six fair die. It is impossible.  There is a 99% chance that …  There is a 75% chance that …  There is a 50% chance that I will throw a head on a fair heads/tails coin. It is certain that I will throw one or the other. | C1  C1  C1  C1 | 2 | C1 for correct example  C1 for correct example  C1 for correct example  C1 for correct example | B |
| **4** |
| **2** |  | Example of a situation with equally likely outcomes with probability of , with justification.  E.g. I have three counters in a bag. One red, one green and one blue. Each has the same chance of being drawn from the bag at random. There are three mutually exclusive outcomes.  Each has the probability of  of being drawn. | C1  P1 | 2 | C1 for correct example  P1 for justification using example | B |
| **2** |
| **3** |  | 8 out of 10  3 out of 10 | A1  A1 | 2 | A1 for words equivalent to 80% oe  A1 for words equivalent to 0.3 oe | B |
| **2** |
| **4** |  | No  The theoretical probability is predictive not exact. 10 is a limited number of experiments and will only sometimes produce five heads | C1  P1 | 2  3 | C1 for no  P1 for good explanation | B |
| **1** |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **5** |  | Examples of any situation with equally likely outcomes of: 0.5, , 0.6, 25% with justification.  E.g. The probability of throwing a head on a fair heads/tails coin is 0.5 (because there are two equally likely outcomes)  A fair, five sided spinner has four green sections and one yellow section (all equally likely). The probability of landing on a yellow section is.  If the probability of passing a driving test first time is 0.6, then the probability of not passing is 0.4  In a standard pack of 52 playing cards, the probability of drawing a diamond is 25%, since 25% of the cards are diamonds. | C4 | 2 | C1 for each correct example | B | |
| **4** |
| **6** |  | The outcomes are not necessarily equally likely, e.g. the skill and the form of the teams, home or away is often very different, for example Chelsea playing Carlisle at home is most likely to be a home win, with an away win very unlikely. | P1 | 2  3 | P1 for clear explanation | | B |
| **1** |
| **7** |  | A valid example of two mutually exclusive events.  e.g. Mutually exclusive events cannot happen at the same time, for example, the probability of passing a test and failing a test. | C1 | 2 | C1 for correct example | | B |
| **1** |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **8 a**  **b**  **c** |  | False.  Experiments have other outside factors which can affect results.  True  A large number of trials means that external factors have less impact on the trials as a whole.  False.  It finds an approximation to true probability. As the number of trials increases relative frequency approaches get closer to the true probability. | P1  P1  P1 | 2 | P1 for each correct response with appropriate justification | B |
| **3** |
| **9** |  | The 10 000 computer spins is more likely because the greater number of trials, the closer the approximation to true probability, which is 0.5 for each outcome. | P1 | 2  3 | P1 for correct reasoning  Demonstrate an understanding that increasing the number of times an experiment is repeated generally leads to better estimates of probability | B |
| **1** |
| **10** |  | Two independent events with justification.  For example: drawing a red counter from a bag of red and yellow counters; drawing a red card from a pack of playing cards. This is because neither event can influence the outcome of the other. | M1  P1 | 2 | M1 for two independent events  P1 for correct reasoning | M |
| **2** |
| **11** |  | An example of equally likely outcomes with an explanation.  E.g. Rolling any one of 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 or 6 on a standard 1–6 unbiased die or throwing a head or a tail with a fair coin. In both cases, all outcomes have the same chance of occurring ( for the first example and  for the second). | M1  P1 | 2 | M1 for two equally likely outcomes  P1 for correct reasoning | M |
| **2** |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **12** |  | An example of an event for which the probability can only be calculated through an experiment with an explanation.  E.g. An event where the likelihood of it occurring is not known, such as a sports tournament or a horse race. | M1  P1 | 2 | M1 for appropriate example  P1 for correct reasoning |  |
| 2 |
| **13** | There are 7 parts in jar B so if there are 3 times as many parts in jar A there will be 21 parts split in the ratio 1 : 2.  21 ÷ 3 = 7  So the ratio of the combined jar is  R : G : R : O  = 7 : 14 : 3 : 4  Or  R : G : O  10 : 14 : 4  So P(O) =  = | P(O) = | B1  M1  A1 | 3 | B1 for accurate set up of ratios  M1 for calculation of (their) probability as a fraction (ft)  A1 cao | M |
| **3** |
| **14** | P(D) = 0.3  P(M) =  = 0.2  P(B) = 45% = 0.45  P(K) = 1 – (0.3 + 0.2 + 0.45)  = 1 – 0.95  = 0.05 | P(Kevin winning) = 0.05 or 5% | B1  M1  A1 | 3 | B1 for correct identification of probabilities as decimals oe  M1 for calculation of probability of Kevin winning using  1– (P(D) + P(M)+P(B)) oe (ft)  A1 cao | M |
| **3** |
| **15** | Prime numbers between 0 and 36 are  2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 29, 31  P(prime) =  After 100 plays, expected value is  100 ×  = 29.729…  So I expect to win 30 times | 30 wins expected | B1  M1  M1  A1 | 3 | B1 for correct identification of all prime numbers between 0 and 36  M1 for calculation of expected value  M1 for appropriate rounding  A1 cao | M |
| **4** |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **16** |  | She should multiply the number of students (*n*) she has by the probability of being left-handed (0.14).  The number of left-handed students will be 0.14*n*. | C1 | 2 | C1 for correct description of process | M |
| **1** |
| **17** | P(E only) + P(E∩M) + P(M only) = 1  P(E∩M) =  P(E only) =  –  =  Therefore P(M) = 1 – P(E only)  1 –  = | P(Maths) = | B1  M1  M1  A1 | 3 | B1 for accuracy and use of Venn oe  M1 for use of all probabilities sum to 1 oe  M1 for calculation of fraction oe  A1 cao | M |
| **4** |
| **18** |  | The frequency is approximately the same for each region of the spinner, suggesting that the spinner is likely to be fair. There are no obvious anomalous results to indicate bias. So there is no strong evidence to suggest it is not fair. | P1 | 2 | M1 for explanation | H |
| **1** |
| **19** | Joy wins:  0.65 × 52 = 33.8  which is approximately 34 wins  Vicky won 10 times  Joy + Vicky = 44 wins  Max = 52-44 = 8 wins | Max can expect to win 8 times. | M1  A1 | 2  3 | M1 for multiplication to find the number of Vicky’s wins  A1 cao | H |
| **2** |
| **20 a**  **b i**  **ii**  **iii**  **iv**  **c i**  **ii**  **iii**  **iv**  **d** | P(Anna, Chloe)+ P(Anna, Clara) + P(Chloe, Clara)  P(Ben ,Ciaran) + P(Ben, Daniel) + P(Ciaran, Daniel)  P(Chloe, Clara) + P(Chloe, Ciaran) +  P(Clara, Ciaran)  1 – =  =  P(Exhaustive outcomes) = 1  P(same sex) + P (not the same sex) =  P(F, F) + P(M, M) + P(M ,F) = 1  OR P(two women) = P(A, Ch) + P(A, Cl)+P(Ch, Cl) =  P(two men)= P(B, Ci) + P(C, D) + P( Ci, D) =  P(Opposite sex) = P(A, B) + P(A, Ci)+ P(A, D) + P(B, Ch) + P(B, Cl) + P(Ch, Ci) + P(Ch, D) + P(Cl, Ci) + P(Cl, D) =  +  +  = | Anna, Ben  Anna, Chloe  Anna, Clara  Anna, Ciaran  Anna, Daniel  Ben, Chloe  Ben, Clara  Ben, Ciaran  Ben, Daniel  Chloe, Clara  Chloe, Ciaran  Chloe, Daniel  Clara, Ciaran  Clara, Daniel  Ciaran, Daniel  = =  = =  = =  =  Don’t need to find all the pairs with different initials because it is 1 minus all the pairs that have the same initials.  Mutually exclusive. Can’t select the same person twice.  Mutually exclusive as two men cannot be a man and a women and vice versa.  Mutually exclusive as no two men have the same initial.  **Not** mutually exclusive as there are possible combinations where two women have the same initial such as Chloe and Clara.  Picking two people of the same sex or picking two people of the opposite sex.  This is mutually exclusive **and** mutually exhaustive because the total probabilities add up to one. | M1  M1  B1  M1  M1  M1  C1  P1  P1  P1  P1  P1  C1 | 3 | M1 for being methodical  M1 for addition of fractions  B1 for simplification.  M1 for addition of fractions  M1 for addition of fractions  M1 for subtraction from one oe  C1 for explanation with method  P1 for mutually exclusive with correct explanation oe  P1 for mutually exclusive with correct explanation oe  P1 for mutually exclusive with correct explanation oe  P1 for not mutually exclusive with correct explanation oe  P1 for mutually exclusive with correct explanation oe  C1 for full demonstration of exhaustive outcomes to support argument oe | H |
| **13** |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **21 a**  **b**  **c**  **d** |  | Probability tree diagram to explain the key features of mutually exclusive and independent events on a tree diagram.  Probabilities on each set of branches have to sum to 1 because they are mutually exhaustive and exclusive (an event happens or another event happens until all possible events accounted for).  The probabilities at each stage may have the same denominator if they are independent (with replacement) or the denominator may change if they are dependent (without replacement).  Final probabilities must sum to 1 because all possible outcomes have been considered.  The probabilities on each branch have to sum to one because they are exhaustive and must describe **all** possible outcomes for that event.  Denominators of same event at different stages will be different if the question specified **without** replacement as there will be less counters to choose from, for example.  Check each set of branches sum to 1.  Check if with or without replacement.  Make sure know when to add (P(A) and P(B)) and when to multiply. Check the sum of the final probabilities after multiplication along the branches is 1. | P1  C1  P1  C1  P1  C1  C2 | 2  3 | P1 for clear explanation that includes mutually exclusive and independent events  C1 for good use of the diagram to support the argument.  P1 for clear explanation of exhaustive events  C1 for good use of the diagram to support the argument  P1 for clear explanation of dependent events  C1 for good use of the diagram to support the argument  C1 for at least two checks that include final probabilities sum to 1  C1 for good use of the diagram to support the argument oe | H |
| **8** |
| **22** | P(rain, not rain) + P(not rain, rain) = 0.25 × 0.52 + 0.75 × 0.48  = 0.49 | 0.49 | M1  C1 | 3 | M1 for multiplication of rain and complement.  C1 cao | H |
| **2** |
| **23 a**  **b**  **c** | 100 ÷ 36 = 2.7 | P(1, 1) = He can expect to win one in 36 so should expect to have 36 goes to win at least once.If he has 100 goes, then he can expect 3 wins. | M1  C1  P1  M1 | 3 | M1 for good use of diagram such as two way table to support explanation  C1 cao  P1 for correct interpretation of 36 outcomes, of which only one wins  M1 for division, rounding and correct interpretation in context | H |
| **4** |
| **24** |  | P(BB) =  ×  =  P(RB) = 1 – (P(BB) + P(RR))  = 1 – ( + )  =  as required. | M1  C1 | 3 | M1 for multiplication of  ×  and subtraction from 1  C1 for use of technical notation and possible use of tree diagram to aid explanation | H |
| **2** |
| **25 a**  **b** | P(PP) = 0.4 x 0.5 = 0.2 | 0.2 | M1  C1  A1 | 3 | M1 for correct construction of probability tree diagram  C1 for probabilities and events identified clearly  A1 cao | H |
| **3** |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **26** | P(6, 7, 8) =  ×  =  = 0.04977… | 0.050 | M1  A1 | 2 | M1 for multiplication showing without replacement for two draws  A1 cao | H |
| **2** |
| **27 a**  **b**  **c** | P(late) = 0.08  P(not late) = 0.92  P(early) = 0.02  P(not early) = 0.98  P(rain) = 0.3  P(not rain) = 0.7  P(on time) = 1 – P(late) – P(early) = 1 - 0.08 – 0.02 = 0.9  P(on time, not raining) = 0.9 × 0.7 = 0.63  P(rain, rain, rain)= 0.33  = 0.027  P(not late five days in a row) = 0.925 = 0.6591 | 0.63  0.027  0.659 | M1  M1  M1 | 2 | M1 for correct multiplication for different events oe  M1 for correct multiplication for three same events oe  M1 for correct multiplication for three same complement events oe | H |
| **3** |